For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION
10	KAREN S. RICIGLIANO, CASE NO. 5:13-cv-02451 EJD
11 12	ORDER RE: COMPLIANCE WITH Plaintiff(s), V. ORDER RE: COMPLIANCE WITH CIVIL LOCAL RULE 79-5(d)
13	DEPUY ORTHOPEDICS, INC., et. al., [Docket Item No(s). 12]
1415	Defendant(s).
16	Presently before the court is Plaintiff's administrative motion pursuant to Civil Local Rule
17	79-5(d) to file under seal certain documents previously designated as confidential by Defendants.
18	See Docket Item No. 12.
19	Civil Local Rule 79-5(d) states:
20	If a party wishes to file a document that has been designated confidential by another party pursuant to a protective order, or if a
21	party wishes to refer in a memorandum or other filing to information so designated by another party, the submitting party must file and
22	serve an Administrative Motion for a sealing order and lodge the document, memorandum or other filing in accordance with this rule.
23	If only a portion of the document, memorandum or other filing is sealable, the submitting party must also lodge with the Court a
24	redacted version of the document, memorandum or other filing to be placed in the public record if the Court approves the requested sealing
25	order. Within 7 days thereafter, the designating party must file with the Court and serve a declaration establishing that the designated information is sealable, and must lodge and serve a narrowly tailored proposed sealing order, or must withdraw the designation of
26	
2728	confidentiality. If the designating party does not file its responsive declaration as required by this subsection, the document or proposed filing will be made part of the public record.

Case No. 5:13-cv-02451 EJD ORDER RE: COMPLIANCE WITH CIVIL LOCAL RULE 79-5(d)

Case 3:13-cv-04172-K Document 15 Filed 06/25/13 Page 2 of 2 PageID 372

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

Plaintiff's administrative motion was filed on June 11, 2013. From that date, Defendants
should have filed a declaration pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(d) on or before June 18, 2013. To
date no such declaration has been filed.

Accordingly, the court extends the deadline for Defendants to file a declaration pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(d) until **Thursday, June 27, 2013, at 4:00 p.m.** Defendants are notified that failure to file a compliant declaration by the extended deadline will result in an order denying Plaintiff's administrative motion and directing Plaintiff to file the referenced documents as part of the public record - even those previously designated as confidential.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 25, 2013

EDWARD J. DAVILA
United States District Judge